UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

GCE Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the June 2004 question papers

	9706 ACCOUNTING
9706/01	Paper 1 (Multiple Choice), maximum raw mark 30
9706/02	Paper 2 (Structured Questions), maximum raw mark 90
9706/03	Paper 3 (Multiple Choice), maximum raw mark 30
9706/04	Paper 4 (Problem Solving), maximum raw mark 120

These mark schemes are published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. They show the basis on which Examiners were initially instructed to award marks. They do not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began. Any substantial changes to the mark scheme that arose from these discussions will be recorded in the published *Report on the Examination*.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the Report on the Examination.

CIE will not enter into discussion or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the June 2004 question papers for most IGCSE and GCE Advanced Level syllabuses.



Grade thresholds taken for Syllabus 9706 (Accounting) in the June 2004 examination.

	maximum	minimum	mark required	for grade:
	mark available	А	В	Ш
Component 1	30	21	19	14
Component 2	90	64	58	38
Component 3	30	22	20	14
Component 4	120	88	79	45

The thresholds (minimum marks) for Grades C and D are normally set by dividing the mark range between the B and the E thresholds into three. For example, if the difference between the B and the E threshold is 24 marks, the C threshold is set 8 marks below the B threshold and the D threshold is set another 8 marks down. If dividing the interval by three results in a fraction of a mark, then the threshold is normally rounded down.

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/01

ACCOUNTING
Paper 1 (Multiple Choice)



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	1

Question Number	Key	Question Number	Key
1	В	16	В
2	D	17	В
3	Α	18	D
4	D	19	Α
5	В	20	D
6	В	21	С
7	В	22	С
8	Α	23	Α
9	С	24	Α
10	С	25	Α
11	С	26	В
12	Α	27	С
13	С	28	В
14	Α	29	Α
15	С	30	В

TOTAL 30

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 90

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/02

ACCOUNTING
Paper 2 (Structured Questions)



Pag	je 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

AS ACCOUNTING - SUMMER 2004

	۸	ı
ı	4	ш
•		

		2002	2003
(a)			
(i)	Acid Test (Liquid) Ratio = CA-stock:CL,	1.61 :1	0.68 :1
(ii)	Stock turnover = CoGS/Ave stock	16.43 times	8.40 times
. ,	•	22.21 days	43.45 days
(iii)	Debtors collection period = Debtorsx365/sales	61.64 days	89.43 days
(iv)	Gross Profit Ratio = GPx100/Sales	30.00 %	24.17 %
		0.30:1	0.24:1
(v)	Net Profit Ratio = NPx100/Sales	11,11 %	8.83 %
		0.11	0.08:1
(vi)	ROCE = NP before int $x100$ /Cap employed	12.17 %	12.05 %

1 for each correct ratio to a maximum of (12)

If no suffix, award 1 for each correct pair:

If answer not to 2 decimal places, but correct working shown, full marks.

(b) Acid test worse, due to lack of cash because of expenditure on stock

Stockturn worse due to surplus unsold stock

Debtors collection worse due to poor credit control.

GP ratio worse due to increased cost price not passed on to customer.

NP ratio worse due to increased operating expenses.

ROCE almost unchanged/slightly worse due to similar rates of change in capital and net profit

2 for each, maximum (12)

These answers are not exclusive - use your judgement.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

(c) Advantages Show trends

Help compare with (i) earlier years

(ii) other businesses

Help decision making

Show particular problem areas

Maximum (3)

Comparisons may be difficult due to

Disadvantages (i) changes in the economy

(ii) changes in technology(iii) changes in Staff

(iv) changes in company policy

Reasons for changes are not always obvious Accuracy of information may be a problem Historic cost used - takes no account of inflation

Maximum (3)

These answers are not exclusive - use your judgement.

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

AS ACCOUNTING - SUMMER 2004 - 9706/2

A2 (a)

Working for Goodwill

	Working for Coo.	a vviii		
		Titus	Ronicus	Net effect
	Introduced	\$	\$	\$
\$ 45 000	Titus	15 000 Cr		15 000 Cr
\$30 000	Ronicus		2 900 Cr	2 900 Cr
	Titus	9 000 Dr	6 000 Dr	15 000 Dr
	Ronicus	1 740 Dr	1 160 Dr	2 900 Dr
	Net	4260 Cr	4 260 Dr	
		\$45 000 Titus \$30 000 Ronicus Titus Ronicus	\$45 000 Titus 15 000 Cr \$30 000 Ronicus 9 000 Dr Ronicus 1 740 Dr	Titus Ronicus Introduced \$ \$ \$45 000 Titus 15 000 Cr \$30 000 Ronicus 2 900 Cr Titus 9 000 Dr 6 000 Dr Ronicus 1 740 Dr 1 160 Dr

Must have same amount total of goodwill on both sides and must cancel out or no marks as Goodwill would otherwise have to appear as an account.

		Capital A	ccounts				
	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus		
	\$	\$		\$	\$		
Goodwill		4 260	2 Sundries	45 000	30 000	2	
Balance c/d	49 260		1 Goodwill	4 260		2	
	<u>49 26</u> 0	<u>30 000</u>		<u>49 260</u>	<u>30 000</u>	_	
			Balance b/d	49 260	25 740	1 OF	(8)
	Alte	rnative Cap	oital Accounts				
	Titus	Ronicus		Titus	Ronicus		
	\$	\$		\$	\$		
Goodwill	1 740		2 Sundries	45 000	30 000		
Balance c/d	49 260		1 Goodwill	6 000	1 740	_2	
	<u>51</u> <u>000</u>	<u>31 740</u>		<u>51 000</u>	<u>31 740</u>	_	
			Balance bid	49 260	25 740	1 OF	(8)
	Titus Ro	onicus		Titus	Ronicus		
Goodwill	10 740	7 160 2	2 Sundries	45 000	30 000	2	
Bal cid	49 260	25 740	1 Goodwill	15 000	2 900	2	
	60 000	32 900		60 000	32 900		
			Bal b/d	49 260	25 740	1 OF	(8)

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

(b) Profit and Loss Appropriation Account for the year ended 30 September 2004

		\$	\$	\$		
Net Profit		56 000 (1) + 10)50 (2)	57 050	3	
Interest on Drawings	Titus	450				
	Ronicus	<u>250</u>		700	1 Not 2	
				57 750		
Interest on Capital	Titus	2 463			1 OF	
	Ronicus	<u>1 287</u>	3 750		1 OF	
Partner's Salary	Ronicus		20 000	23 750	1	
-				<u>34 000</u>		
Share of Residue	Titus	20 400	unless		1 OF	
	Ronicus	13 600	aliens	34 000	1 OF	(9)

(c)		Titus \$	Current Accounts Ronicus \$	Titus \$	Ronicus \$	
	Drawings Int on drawings Goods taken Balance c/d	9 000 450 600 <u>12813</u> <u>22863</u>	5 000 2 Share of Residue 250 2 Int on Capital 450 2 Salary 29187 1 34 887	20 400 2 463 22 863	13 600 2 1 287 2 20 000 3	2
			Balance b/d	12 813	29 187 <i>1</i>	1 (13)

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	2

A/S ACCOUNTING SUMMER 2004 - 9706/2 Hours worked = 30 workers x 30 hours x 50 weeks = 45000 = 10 hours/unit = \$6/hour

	Hours worked = 30 v	vorkers x 30 ł	nours x 50 we			= \$6/hour
A3	Calos		4500 x 250	\$	\$ 1.125.000	4
(a)	Sales Direct Materials	4500 x 35	4500 X 250	157,500	1,125,000	1 1
	Direct Labour	45000 x 55		270,000		2
	Variable Costs	15000 X 0		270,000		-
	V Overheads	4500 x 12		54,000		
	Administration	4500 x 14		63,000		1
				•	544,500	
					580,500	
	Fixed Costs					
	Fixed o'heads			125,000		1
	Administrative			70;000		1
	Advertising			<u>150,000</u>	245.000	1
	Total Fixed Costs Net Profit				345,000	1
	Net Profit				<u>235,500</u>	(10)
(h)(i) Sales		5000 x 250		1,250,000	1
(1)(1	Direct Materials	5000 x 35	3000 X 230	175,000	1,230,000	•
	Basic D Labour	4.5000 x 6		270,000		1
	5000 extra hours	5000 x 9		45,000		1
	Extra costs	5000 x 1.5		7,500		1
	VO			60,000		
	V Admin 0			70,000		
	Fixed costs		125,000)
			70,000)1
			150,000	345,000	<u>972,500</u>)
	Net Profit				<u>277,500</u>	1 + 1 of
						(7)
(b)(ii) Sales			455 500	1,250,000	
	DM			157,500		
	DL VO			270,000 54,000		
	V Admin 0			63,000		
	Fixed Costs			345,000		1
	Lease			50,000		2
					939,500	
	Net Profit				310,500	1 + 1of
	NB No marks for p	profit if mark	ket research	included		(5)
	Due to wording of qu				for variable costs	
/h\/;;		iestion, accep	carry rigures i	11 (a) 01 (b)		•
וו)(נוו	i) Sales DM			157,500	1,250,000	
	DL DI			270,000		
	VO			54,000		
	V Ad O			63,000		
	Fixed Costs			345,000		1
	Cost of buying in	500 x 200		100,000	_	2
					<u>989,500</u>	
	Net Profit				<u>260,500</u>	1 +1 of
					200,500	

Fixed costs will have to be calculated in most cases.

(5)

(c) Option 1

Second most profitable option, but could lead to employees expecting overtime in future.

Option 2

Market research costs already spent, so no further outlay, and best net profit. But there may be teething troubles and possible re-training problems.

Option 3

No additional capital outlay, but possible problems of quality control.

Any three relevant points

(3)

If unit costing used, award where correct.

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 30

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/03

ACCOUNTING
Paper 3 (Multiple Choice)



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	3

Question Number	Key	Question Number	Key
1	Α	16	В
2	С	17	Α
3	Α	18	Α
4	С	19	В
5	D	20	Α
6	В	21	D
7	D	22	В
8	В	23	С
9	С	24	В
10	D	25	D
11	В	26	С
12	В	27	С
13	С	28	С
14	С	29	В
15	С	30	В

GCE A AND AS LEVEL

MARK SCHEME

MAXIMUM MARK: 120

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9706/04

ACCOUNTING
Paper 4 (Problem Solving)



Page 1	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

1(a). Capital Accounts Bargy Bargy Argy Argy \$ \$ \$ \$ 18 000 (1) 12 000 (1) Balances b/d 50 000 (1) **Drawings** 25 000 (1) Shares in Shindig Ltd 30 000 (1) 15 000 (1) Loan 4 000 (1) Debentures 5 000 (1) Bank <u>11 000</u> (1) <u>3 000</u> (1) Profit on realisation <u>10 000</u> <u>5 000</u> **(6)** <u>64 000</u> (1) <u>30 000</u> (1) <u>64 000</u> (1) <u>30 000</u> (1) Profit on realisation \$ Allocation of shares Assets at book value Freehold land 5 000 Freehold buildings 20 000 Purchase consideration 62 000 Equipment 8 000 Debentures (4000 X5/4) 5 000 Stock 11 000 Cash 12 000 6 000 **Debtors** Shares 45 000 50 000 (1) <u>62 000</u> Deduct creditors <u>3 000</u> (1) Net assets sold 47 000 Sale proceeds <u>62 000</u> (1) Profit on realisation 15 000 (1)(**OF**) Argy $^2/_3$ 10 000 (1) Bargy ¹/₃ 5 000 (1) [20] (b)(i) Shindig Ltd Balance Sheet immediately after acquisition of partnership \$ Fixed assets: Goodwill (see below) 20 000 (3) Freehold land 10 000 (1) Freehold buildings 16 000 **(1)** Leasehold buildings 10 000 **(1)** Equipment 5 000 (1) Office furniture 2 000 (1) 63 000 Current assets: Stock $(20\ 000 + 9\ 000)$ 29 000 (1) Debtors $(12\ 000 + 5\ 000)$ 17 000 **(1)** $(24\ 000 - 12\ 000)$ Bank <u>12 000</u> (1) 58 000 Less Current liabilities Creditors $(14\ 000 + 3\ 000)$ 17 000 **(1)** 41 000 104 000 Less Long term liability: 10% debentures 5 000 (1) 99 000 Share capital and reserves Ordinary shares of \$1 $(50\ 000 + 30\ 000)$ 80 000 (1) 15 000 (1) Share Premium account Retained profit 4 000 99 000 [15] Note **(1)**

(1)

Goodwill $[62\ 000 - (45\ 000 - 3\ 000)] = $20\ 000$

(1)

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

(ii)

Shindig Ltd would not have purchased Goodwill.(1) The purchase of assets does not give rise to payment for Goodwill (1) Goodwill is only relevant when a company acquires a business as a going concern. (1)

The settlement would more likely to have been made in cash. (1)

The partnership business would not have been terminated (1)

[5]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

2 (-)	D. 1 4 4. 4 4 C 41	14!	- 610	000:4-
2 (a)	Budget statement for the	broduction	01 10	ooo umus

Process 1 Process 2 From process 1 1 230 000 **(1)(OF)** $(10\ 000\ X\ 3\ X\ \$7)$ Materials (10 000 X 4 X \$12) 480 000 (1) 210 000 (1) Labour (10 000 X 3 X \$15) (10 000 X 5 X \$10) 450 000 (1) 500 000 (1) Overhead (30 000 X \$10) 300 000 **(1)** (50 000 X £16) 800 000 (1) Transferred to process 1 1 230 000 **(1) (OF)** Finished goods 2 740 000 (1)(OF) [9]

(b) Flexed budget statement for the production of 9 500 units [9000 + (1000 X 50%)]

Process 2

\$ From process 1 (9500 X 123) 1 168 500 (1(OF) Materials (9500 X 3 X \$7) 199 500 (1) Labour (9 500 X 5 X \$10) 475 000 **(1)** Overhead (9 500 X 5 X £16) 7<u>60 000</u> **(1)** 2 603 000 (1) (OF)

[5]

(c) Process accounts for actual production

Process 1 account (10 000 units)

567 000 (1)

Material (10 000 X 4.2 X \$13.5)

Labour (10 000 X 2.8 X \$15.75) 441 000 (1) Production transferred

Overhead (10 000 X 2.8. X \$10) <u>280 000</u> (1) 1 288 000

process 2

1<u>288 000</u> (1) 1 288 000

\$

Process 2 account (9 500 complete units)

\$

Materials from process 1 1 288 000 (1)(OF)

Added materials

(9500 X 2.9 X \$7.3) 201 115 (1)

Labour (9500 X 5.25 X \$9) 448 875 (1) Finished goods (note) 2 530 980 (1)(OF) Overhead (9500 X 5.25 X \$16) 798 000 (1) Work in progress c/d (note) 205 010 (1)(OF) 2 735 990 2 735 990

[10]

Note	Finished goods	Work is	n progress
	\$		\$
(\$1 288 000 X .9)	1 159 200	(\$1 288 000 X .1)	128 800
(\$1 447 990 X 9/9.5)	<u>1 371 780</u>	(\$1 447 990 X .5/9.5)	<u>76 210</u>
	2 530 980	,	205 010

(d) (i)	Materials price variance	\$(12.0 – 13.5)42 000	\$63 000 A (2) *	
(ii)	Materials usage variance	$(40\ 000 - 42\ 000)$ \$12	\$24 000 A (2)*	
(iii)	Labour efficiency variance	(47500 - 49875)\$10	\$23 750 A (2) *	
(iv)	Labour rate variance	\$(10 – 9)49 875	\$49 875 F (2)*	[8]

^{* 1} mark only if \$ sign omitted; no mark if A or F omitted.

(e)(i) By-products are products which arise incidentally in the processing of the main product(s). (1)

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

They have low sales value compared to the main products. (1)

(ii) Waste products are processing debris. (1)
Revenue derived from the sale of by-products and waste is deducted from total process costs. (1)

- (f) Advantages of using standard costs
 - Standard cost can be used to facilitate the preparation of realistic budgets
 - Variances between budgeted and actual activity may identified/explained
 - Responsibility for variances may be allocated to persons involved
 - Standard costs facilitate the preparation of estimates for new products\quotations for jobs.

 (1 mark for each point. Maximum 4 points)

 [4]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

3 (a) Calculation of ARR

Annual revenue

expenditure

depreciation

Annual profit

$$\begin{array}{c}
80\ 000\ (1) \\
60\ 000\ (1) \\
130\ 000 \\
30\ 000\ (1)(OF)
\end{array}$$

Average investment \$(250\ 000\ X\ \frac{1}{2} + 40\ 000) = \$165\ 000\ (1)

ARR = $\frac{30\ 000}{1000} \times 100 = 18\%$ (1)

OR

$$\begin{array}{c}
(1) \quad (1) \\
60\ 000 \quad X \quad 100 = 18\%$$
 (1)

ARR = $\frac{30\ 000}{1000} \times 100 = 15.79\%$ (1)

OR

$$\begin{array}{c}
(1) \quad (1) \\
60\ 000 \quad X \quad 100 = 15.79\% \quad (1)
\end{array}$$
OR

$$\begin{array}{c}
(1) \quad (1) \\
60\ 000 \quad X \quad 100 = 15.79\% \quad (1)
\end{array}$$
OR

$$\begin{array}{c}
(1) \quad (1) \\
60\ 000 \quad X \quad 100 = 13.96\% \quad (1)
\end{array}$$
10 [10]

(b) Calculation of discounted payback period

Net outlay 300 000 (1)
Years 1 – 4 \$80 000 X 3.169 (253 520) (1)
46 480 (1)
Year 5 \$130 000 X 0.621 80 730 (1)
$$^{46 480}/_{80 730}$$
 X 12 = 7 months
Discounted payback period = 4 years 7 months. (1) [5]

[10]

[10]

(c) (i) Calculation of IRR \$ \$ (300 000) 20% (300 000)
Years 1 - 4 \$80 000 X 3.169 253 520 Years 1 - 4 \$80 000 X 2.588 207 040 (4)
5 \$130 000 X 0.621
$$80 730 (1)$$
 5 \$130 000 X 0.402 $52 260 (1)$
NPV $34 250 (1) (OF)$ $40 700 (1) (OF)$ [10]

earned by the company (1) and The IRR at 14.7% is almost in line with the rate currently being earned. (1) The payback period is acceptable (1) because it is within the life of the project (1) [3]

= 14.6% (1)(**OF**)

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	ACCOUNTING – JUNE 2004	9706	4

(d) Accounting rate of return

Advantages

- profitability of a project may be compared with present profitability of business
- it is relatively easy to calculate

Disadvantages

- average annual profit may not be typical of any year
- timing of cash inflows and outflows is ignored
- it ignores the payback risk factor
- it ignores the time value of money
- 'profit' is subjective (provisions for depreciation, bad debts etc.)
- no commonly accepted method of calculating capital employed
- ignores duration of project

Payback period

Advantages

- it is relatively easy to calculate
- calculation of net cash flows is less subjective than calculation of profitability
- where competing projects are being considered, the risk factors may be compared
- short payback periods benefit business's liquidity and facilitate faster growth

Disadvantages

- life expectancy of project is ignored
- different projects may have similar payback periods but different patterns of cash flows
- time value of money may be ignored

Internal rate of return

Advantages

- indicates return actually to be expected from expenditure
- may assist in ranking different proposals
- often used in businesses
- recognises time value of money

Disadvantages

- more difficult to calculate than NPV
- NPV is usually more useful in ranking different projects
 - (1 method discussed maximum 8
 - 2 methods discussed maximum 10

3 methods discussed – maximum 12)

[12]